Application No. 10/628,519 Amendment Dated May \_\_\_, 2006 Reply to Office Action of April 10, 2006

## **REMARKS**

In the Office Action, the Examiner requested the applicant to elect one of two groups of inventions identified by the Examiner in the Office Action.

By the present response, the applicant hereby elects to prosecute claim 1-38 and 42-67, without traverse. Claims 39-41 and 69-71 are hereby withdrawn from prosecution and have been cancelled.

In addition to the restriction requirement, the examiner further required the applicant to elect a species to prosecute should the generic claims be rejected.

By the present response, applicant hereby elects to prosecute Species IA, consisting of claims 2-6 and 43-47 should none of the generic claims be held allowable.

The Examiner is invited to contact the applicant's undersigned attorney with any questions or comments, or to otherwise facilitate prosecution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP

Joseph D. Kuborr

Reg. No. 40.689

Andrus, Sceales, Starke & Sawall, LLP 100 East Wisconsin Avenue, St. 1100 Milwaukee, WI 53202 (414) 271-7590 Attorney Docket No. 4834-00007